

[Date]

[Client Name]

[Client Address]

[City, State, Zip Code]

RE: PATENT CLEARANCE AND FREEDOM-TO-OPERATE OPINION

Subject Product/Technology: [Detailed Description of Product/Process]

Dear [Client Name],

At your request, we have conducted a comprehensive patent clearance and Freedom-to-Operate (FTO) search and analysis regarding the Subject Product. The purpose of this letter is to provide our legal opinion on whether the manufacture, use, sale, or importation of the Subject Product infringes any valid, enforceable patents in [Jurisdiction].

I. SCOPE OF SEARCH

Our search was conducted on [Date] using the [Database Name] databases. The search focused on the following criteria:

- **Keywords:** [List Keywords]
- **Classes/Subclasses:** [List CPC/IPC Codes]
- **Assignees/Competitors:** [List Companies Searched]

II. IDENTIFIED PATENTS OF INTEREST

Based on our search, we identified the following patents that warranted detailed analysis:

1. **U.S. Patent No. [Number]:** "[Patent Title]" - Assigned to [Owner].
2. **U.S. Patent No. [Number]:** "[Patent Title]" - Assigned to [Owner].

III. NON-INFRINGEMENT ANALYSIS

For each patent identified above, we have compared the independent claims to the technical specifications of the Subject Product:

1. U.S. Patent No. [Number]:

The Subject Product does not infringe this patent because it lacks the following element required by Claim 1: [Describe missing element]. Under the All-Elements Rule, the absence of this feature precludes a finding of literal infringement.

2. Doctrine of Equivalents:

We have also considered the Doctrine of Equivalents and determined that the Subject Product performs a substantially different function or achieves the result in a substantially different way than the identified claims.

IV. VALIDITY ASSESSMENT (IF APPLICABLE)

In addition to non-infringement, we have reviewed the validity of [Patent Number]. Based on [Prior Art Reference], there are strong grounds to assert that the claims are [Anticipated/Obvious] under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 or 103.

V. CONCLUSION AND OPINION

Based on the information provided and the search results obtained, it is our professional legal opinion that the Subject Product does not infringe any valid and enforceable claim of the identified patents. Consequently, [Client Name] has "Freedom-to-Operate" regarding the Subject Product in the specified jurisdiction.

VI. LIMITATIONS

This opinion is based on the Subject Product as described to us on [Date]. Any modifications to the design may require a supplemental analysis. This search does not include unpublished patent applications or pending litigation not recorded in public databases.

Sincerely,

[Attorney Signature]

[Attorney Name]

[Law Firm Name]