

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL
ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE / ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT

[Date]

[Client Name]
[Client Address]
[City, State, Zip Code]

Re: Legal Opinion Regarding the Validity of U.S. Patent No. [Patent Number]

Dear [Client Contact Name],

At your request, we have conducted a legal analysis to evaluate the validity of U.S. Patent No. [Patent Number] (the "Subject Patent"), currently assigned to [Patent Owner Name]. This letter provides our formal legal opinion regarding the validity of the claims contained therein.

1. Executive Summary

Based on our review of the Subject Patent, its prosecution history, and the prior art identified during our search, it is our professional opinion that Claims [List Claims] of the Subject Patent are likely [Valid/Invalid] under [35 U.S.C. § 101, 102, 103, or 112].

2. Materials Reviewed

In rendering this opinion, we have examined the following:

- The specification, drawings, and claims of U.S. Patent No. [Number].
- The complete file wrapper/prosecution history of the Subject Patent.
- Prior art references including: [List Patents, Publications, or Documents].
- Relevant Federal Circuit and Supreme Court case law.

3. Claim Construction

For the purposes of this analysis, we have interpreted the claim terms according to their broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification. Specifically, we have construed the term "[Term]" to mean "[Definition]" based on [Justification].

4. Analysis of Validity

A. Anticipation (35 U.S.C. § 102):

[Detailed analysis of whether a single prior art reference discloses every element of the claims.]

B. Obviousness (35 U.S.C. § 103):

[Analysis of whether the differences between the claimed invention and the prior art are such

that the subject matter would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art (PHOSITA) at the time of filing.]

C. Written Description and Enablement (35 U.S.C. § 112):

[Analysis of whether the specification sufficiently describes and enables the claimed invention.]

5. Conclusion

Subject to the limitations and qualifications set forth above, we conclude that there is [a strong/moderate/low] probability that a court would find the challenged claims of the Subject Patent invalid if subjected to litigation.

6. Disclaimers

This opinion is based on the law and facts as they exist as of the date of this letter. This letter is provided solely for the benefit of [Client Name] and may not be relied upon by any other party without our express written consent.

Sincerely,

[Attorney Signature]

[Attorney Name]

[Law Firm Name]