

**PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION**

[Date]

[Client Name]
[Client Address]
[City, State, Zip Code]

Re: Legal Opinion Regarding U.S. Patent No. [Patent Number] and [Product/Process Name]

Dear [Client Contact Name],

1. Introduction

You have requested our legal opinion concerning U.S. Patent No. [Patent Number] (the "Subject Patent") in relation to your product/process known as [Product Name]. Specifically, you requested our analysis regarding whether [Product Name] infringes any valid claim of the Subject Patent and whether the claims of the Subject Patent are valid and enforceable.

2. Executive Summary

Based on our review of the Subject Patent, its prosecution history, the identified prior art, and the technical specifications of [Product Name], it is our professional opinion that:

- (a) [Product Name] does not infringe any claim of the Subject Patent, either literally or under the Doctrine of Equivalents; and/or
- (b) The relevant claims of the Subject Patent are invalid under [35 U.S.C. § 102 and/or 103].

3. Analysis of Non-Infringement

We have compared the elements of Independent Claim [Number] with the features of [Product Name].

- Claim Limitation [A]: [Briefly describe limitation].

- Technical Reality: [Product Name] lacks this limitation because [Reason].

Because at least one limitation of each independent claim is absent from [Product Name], there is no literal infringement. Furthermore, the Doctrine of Equivalents does not apply because [Reason/Prosecution History Estoppel].

4. Analysis of Invalidity

Our search of prior art revealed [Reference Name/Patent Number], which was [published/filed] before the priority date of the Subject Patent.

- Anticipation: [Reference] discloses every element of Claim [Number], rendering it invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

- Obviousness: The combination of [Reference A] and [Reference B] would make the claimed invention obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of filing under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

5. Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, we conclude that [Client Name] may continue its activities regarding [Product Name] without infringing any valid and enforceable claim of the Subject Patent. This opinion is based on the law and facts as they exist on the date of this letter.

Sincerely,

[Attorney Name]

[Law Firm Name]