

[Law Firm Letterhead]

[Date]

[Client Name]

[Client Address]

RE: Validity Opinion Concerning U.S. Patent No. [Number]

Dear [Client Name],

At your request, we have conducted an investigation and legal analysis regarding the validity of U.S. Patent No. [Number] (the "Subject Patent"), currently assigned to [Patent Owner Name].

1. Executive Summary

Based on our review of the Subject Patent, its prosecution history, and the prior art identified herein, it is our professional opinion that one or more claims of the Subject Patent are likely invalid under 35 U.S.C. §§ [101, 102, 103, and/or 112].

2. Materials Reviewed

In rendering this opinion, we have examined:

- The Subject Patent and its complete file wrapper (prosecution history).
- Prior art references cited during prosecution.
- New prior art references discovered during our independent search, specifically: [List References].

3. Claim Construction

For the purposes of this opinion, we have construed the claims according to their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the specification. Key terms defined include: [Term 1], [Term 2].

4. Legal Analysis of Invalidity

A. Anticipation (35 U.S.C. § 102)

It is our opinion that Claims [Numbers] are anticipated by [Reference Name], which discloses every element of the claimed invention as follows: [Brief Comparison].

B. Obviousness (35 U.S.C. § 103)

It is our opinion that Claims [Numbers] would have been obvious at the time of filing to a person having ordinary skill in the art (PHOSITA) based on the combination of [Reference A] and [Reference B]. The motivation to combine these references includes [Explain Motivation].

C. Written Description/Enablement (35 U.S.C. § 112)

[Optional: Discuss any failures to adequately describe or enable the invention].

5. Conclusion

In light of the foregoing, we conclude that there is a high probability that the challenged claims

of the Subject Patent would be held invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction or the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB).

6. Disclaimers

This opinion is based on the law and facts as they exist today. It does not guarantee a specific outcome in litigation. This letter is for the sole use of [Client Name] and is protected by attorney-client privilege.

Sincerely,

[Attorney Signature]

[Attorney Name]

[Law Firm Name]